P-Wave Superconductivity in Extremely Correlated 2d metal

Current Trends in Condensed Matter Physics NISER, Bhubaneswar February 19-22, 2015



Acknowledgement

Zhengching-Gu (Perimeter) Hong-Chen Jiang (SLAC)

Science & Engineering Research Board (SERB. DST, India)

Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics (Waterloo, Canada)



http://www.imsc.res.in Institute of Mathematical Sciences

Research in

Theoretical Physics Pure Mathematics Computer Science ~ 60 faculy 100 Ph.D. students 20 PDF's 10 visitors

Junior Research Fellow Entrance through JEST Exam

Post Doctoral Fellowship Visiting Research Scholar Summer Program for BSc, BE, MSc students Faculty Associateship Adjunct Faculty Visiting Professor... Autonomous Institute similar to IIT's IISc, Bangalore TIFR, Bombay

Aided by DAE





www.perimeterinstitute.ca

PERIMETER INSTITUTE Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

Lou Gehrig's disease

www.perimeterinstitute.ca

Research Institute similar to Matscience but extensive visitor program

Perimeter Scholars International (PSI) Program

An example of respect by an individual for theoretical physics as something that helps transform society (other examples – Kavli, Yuri Millner, Simons Tata, Alagappa, Birla, Mehta, A C Muthiah, ...)



Donor of 150 Million Dollars (Black Berry Chief)



Plan of the Talk

Chiral P-wave superconductors, Vortices, Manorana Zero Modes

Braiding of vortices & Topological Quantum Computation

P-wave superconducting instability in Half Metal Fermi Liquids From charge and spin current coupling

Infinite U repulsive Hubbard Model on a Honey Comb Lattice, Nagaoka Ferromagnetism (Half metal) coexisting with p-wave superconductivity (Grassman Tensor Network approach)

Possible Experimeental Realization

p-Wave Superconductivity

Attraction in the spin triplet channel

Orbital part is antisymmetric. Spin part symmetric

He³ is a well known p-wave superfluid Some heavy fermions are believed to be p-wave superconductors

Sr₂RuO₄ is a good example of a 2-dimensional p-wave superconductor with a good experimental support

Pairing in nuclei and neutron stars have p-wave character



structurally similar to La, CuO4

Theoretical Prediction of p-Wave Superconductivity T. M. Rice, M. Sigrist, J. Phys. Cond. Matter 7, L643 (1995) G. Baskaran, Physica B 223-224, 490 (1996); Trieste Workshop July 1995

Superconducting Tc ~ 1 K, very low !

Story: Piers Coleman's Challenge at Trieste and GB's response





SUPERCONDUCTIVITY AND ELECTRON CORRELATION

BECKMAN AUDITORIUM

Dr. Mackenzie will review the physics of ruthenate superconductivity, a field which was kick-started by the experimental discovery of Yoshiteru Maeno and colleagues in 1994 and further fueled soon afterwards by the inspired suggestions by Rice, Sigrist, and (independently) Baskaran t Sr_aRuO₄ was a candidate for spin triplet pairing. He will disc the evidence that has accumulated supporting that hypothe and try to give an objective assessment of the current state knowledge regarding the gap symmetry. He will particularly



 $(Sr^{2+})_{2}$ Ru⁴⁺ (O²⁻)₂

Ru⁴⁺ is in **4d**⁴ configuration

Sister compound Sr_2FeO_4 is a spin-1 Mott insulator



So coulomb correlations and Hund coupling are likely to be very important (GB)

Spin triplet pairing (p_x + ip_y**) was predicted**





Orbital part can have p_x, p_y or p_z symmetry or linear combinations such as p_x + i p_y or p_x - i p_y (in 2D this will be favored, because of in plane orbital motion)

Spin part has to be symmetric under interchange. So it will be one of the three triples or linear combinations.

Cooper pair amplitude (Superconducting order parameter is not a scalar

$$\Psi = e^{i\varphi} [d_x(|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle) + id_y(|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle) + d_z(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)](k_x + ik_y).$$

direction $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ of triplet pairing

Excitations of 2D supercondctors

Bogoliubov quasi particles and quantized vortices

In a quantized vorex carrying flux quanta $\frac{hc}{2e}$ The phase of the order parameter φ winds by 2π as we go around the vortex once Traditionally one views the phase as a 2d vector

There is a normal core at the center of the vortex of dimension ξ , the coherence length.

The size of the magnetic flux is λ the London peneteration length

Single quantum vortex located at the origin in 2D s-wave superconductor

$$\Delta(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 f(r) e^{i\theta}$$



Order parameter remains single valued

In the absence of vortices Bogoliubov quasiparticles are Bloch waves They are positive energy excitations with a finite gap

S-wave superconductors are nodeleess and generically have a gap (Extended-S can have nodes) P_x or p_y states has a node because of they have odd parity

 $\Delta(k_x, k_y) = \Delta_0 k_x$ Time reversal symmetry is not broken only parity symmetry is broken

States such as $p_x + i p_y$ or $p_x - i p_y$ are gapless and do note have a node

$$\Delta_{\pm}(k_x,k_y) = \Delta_0 \times (k_x \pm ik_y)$$

They violate both parity and time reversal symmetry (PT violation)

The orbital motion produces magnetic field perpendicular to the plane which has been measured, for the case of Sr₂RuO₄ , by muon spin rotation

Bogoliubov quasi particle is a linear combinations of an electron and a hole of opposite spin Their chrges are defined only module 2



How does one study quantized vortices and see how quasi particle states get modified in the presence of vortices ?

Use Bogoliubov de Gennes Equations, derivable from mean field BCS Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^2 \mathbf{r} \left[\Psi^{\dagger} \left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \varepsilon_F \right) \Psi + \Psi^{\dagger} \left[e^{i\theta} \Delta(r) * (\nabla_x + i\nabla_y) \right] \Psi^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.} \right]$$

 $[A * B = (AB + BA)/2] \qquad r \text{ and } \theta \text{ are the polar coordinates}$ $i\hbar\partial_t \Psi = [H, \Psi] = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2) \Psi + g\Delta\Psi^*$ $i\hbar\partial_t \Psi^* = [H, \Psi^*] = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2) \Psi^* + g\Delta^*\Psi$ The combination $\gamma^{\dagger} = u\Psi^{\dagger} + v\Psi$ $[H, \gamma^{\dagger}] = E\gamma^{\dagger}$

Solves the BGD equation

$$E\begin{pmatrix}u(\mathbf{r})\\v(\mathbf{r})\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}h & \frac{i}{2}\left\{\Delta(\mathbf{r}),\partial_x + i\partial_y\right\}\\\frac{i}{2}\left\{\Delta^*(\mathbf{r}),\partial_x - i\partial_y\right\} & -h\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}u(\mathbf{r})\\v(\mathbf{r})\end{pmatrix}$$

Normal state quasi particles at the vortex core get Andreev reflected at the boundary of the core and establish bound quasi particle states in the gap of the quasi particle spectrum

Because the boundary has a non trivial topology for the phase of the order parameter the bound qp-states could have non-trivial topological and robust character





5- Wave . WAVE de Genna Marticoni 60 Ep. $\mathcal{E}_{n} \approx \mathcal{E}_{a}(n+\frac{1}{2})$ F. έ, p-Wave Kopnin, Saloman. Valouik Wave SC Enren M=0,1,2··· k?

Nature of localized quasi particle states in Half vortices

$$\gamma^{\dagger}(E) = \gamma(-E)^{\dagger}$$
 (number of fermi oscillators)
is half as that of a single vortex

The zero-energy level becomes a self-conjugate Majorana Fermion

$$\gamma^{\dagger}(E=0) = \gamma(E=0)$$

Contrast it with midgap states in domain walls in polyacetylene

Number of degree of freedom

The Majorana Fermion zero mode is stable against local perturbations such as external scalar, electromagnetic vector potentials, spin orbit coupling, local variation of the order parameter etc.

$$\gamma_i = \int dr \Big[g(r - R_i) \psi(r) + g^*(r - R_i) \psi^+(r) \Big]$$



$$\Psi = \gamma_1 + i\gamma_2$$

A complex fermion mode whose Real and imaginary parts are Well separated spacially !



 γ_2

 γ_1

Majorana mode

Majorana mode

How Majorana fermion transforms under U(1) gauge transformation

A overall phase of the superconducting gap shifts by $\frac{\varphi}{2}$

is equivalent to rotating the electronic creation and annihilation Operators by

$$\Psi_{\alpha} \mapsto e^{i\phi/2}\Psi_{\alpha}, \ \Psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \mapsto e^{-i\phi/2}\Psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$$

Equivalently the solution (u,v) transforms accordingly $(u, v) \mapsto (ue^{i\phi/2}, ve^{-i\phi/2})$

The important consequence of this transformation rule is that under change of the phase of the order parameter by 2π the Majorana fermion in the vortex changes sign: $\gamma \mapsto -\gamma$. This is an obvious consequence of the fact that the quasiparticle is a linear combination of fermionic creation and annihilation operators carrying charge ± 1 .

Considere a system of 2n vortices, far from each other at distances $l >> \xi \approx \frac{v_F}{\Lambda}$

To each vortex there is a bound zero energy Majorana mode

Denoted by the operator γ_i **i = 1,2,, 2n**

They can be combined to give n complex fermion operators Therefore the ground state degeneracy is 2ⁿ (each fermion level may be full or empty)

If the vortices move adiabatically slowly so that we can neglect transitions between subgap levels, the only possible effect of such vortex motion is a unitary evolution in the space of ground states. Let us fix the initial positions of vortices. Consider now a permutation (braiding) of vortices which returns vortices to their original positions (possibly in a different order). Such braid operations form a braid group B_{2n} (multiplication in this group corresponds to the sequential application of the two braid operations) This group is generated by elementary interchanges T_i of neighboring particles (i = 1, ..., 2n - 1) modulo the relations

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i, \qquad |i - j| > 1,$$

 $T_i T_j T_i = T_j T_i T_j, \qquad |i - j| = 1.$





We seek a (projective) representation of the braid group B_{2n}

Since the Majorana fermions γ_i change sign under a shift of the superconducting phase by 2π , we introduce *cuts* connecting vortices to the left boundary of the system



Now the action of operators T_i may be extended from *operators* to the Hilbert space. Since the whole Hilbert space can be constructed from the vacuum state by fermionic creation operators, and the mapping of the vacuum state by T_i may be determined uniquely up to a phase factor, the action (6) of B_{2n} on operators uniquely defines a projective representation of B_{2n} in the space of ground states.

We need to construct operators

 $\tau(T_i)$ obeying $\tau(T_i)\gamma_j[\tau(T_i)]^{-1} = T_i(\gamma_j)$, where $T_i(\gamma_j)$ is defined by (6)

Recall $\{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}$ then (upto a phase factor)

$$\tau(T_i) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{4} \gamma_{i+1} \gamma_i\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 + \gamma_{i+1} \gamma_i\right)$$

In the case of two vortices, the two Majorana fermions may be combined into a single complex fermion as $\Psi = (\gamma_1 + i\gamma_2)/2$, $\Psi^{\dagger} = (\gamma_1 - i\gamma_2)/2$. The ground state is doubly degenerate, and the only generator of the braid group T is represented by

$$\tau(T) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\gamma_2\gamma_1\right) = \exp\left[i\frac{\pi}{4}(2\Psi^{\dagger}\Psi - 1)\right]$$
$$= \exp\left(i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_z\right), \tag{8}$$

In the case of 4 vortices we have two complex fermions

 $\Psi_1 = (\gamma_1 + i \gamma_2)/2, \Psi_2 = (\gamma_3 + i \gamma_4)/2$ (and similarly for Ψ_1^{\dagger} and Ψ_2^{\dagger}) **The ground state degeneracy is 4 and 3 generators T**₁, **T**₂ **and T**₃ **are given by**

$$\tau(T_1) = \exp\left(i\,\frac{\pi}{4}\,\sigma_z^{(1)}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} e^{-i\pi/4} & & \\ & e^{i\pi/4} & \\ & & e^{-i\pi/4} \\ & & & e^{i\pi/4} \end{array}\right)$$

$$\tau(T_3) = \exp\left(i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_z^{(2)}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi/4} & & \\ & e^{-i\pi/4} & & \\ & & e^{i\pi/4} & \\ & & & e^{i\pi/4} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tau(T_2) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\gamma_3\gamma_2\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1 + \gamma_3\gamma_2\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[1 + i(\Psi_2^{\dagger} + \Psi_2)(\Psi_1^{\dagger} - \Psi_1)\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 1 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 1 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrices are written in the basis $(|0\rangle, \Psi_1^{\dagger}|0\rangle, \Psi_2^{\dagger}|0\rangle, \Psi_1^{\dagger}\Psi_2^{\dagger}|0\rangle)$

Half Metallic Ferromagnets



Coey et al. J. Phys. D (2002)

Instability of the Half Metal Ferromagnet

Majority spin electrons

A small density of minorty spin electrons

How do they couple ?

Hubbard Model



• sublattice B



Infinite U Repulsive Hubbard Model

$$\mathbf{H} = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} (\tilde{c}_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{j,\sigma} + h.c.) \cdot$$

$$\tilde{c}_{i,\sigma} \equiv c_{i,\sigma}(1 - n_{i,\bar{\sigma}})$$

Infinite repulsive U Hubbard model at Half Filling is an insulator with dangling or fee spins. Ground state has a 2^{N} fold spin degeneracy.

Nagaoka Theorem: For bipartite lattices with nearest neighbor hoping a single hole removes the massive degeneracy and creates a fully spin polarized Ferromagnetic ground state.

How about finite density of holes ? Theoretical studies indicate that ferromagnetism might survive upto about 20 % of doping.

All focus in the literature has been on Nagaoka Ferromagnet, a half metallic state
Instability of half metallic Fermi Liquid

(GB, Zhengcheng Gu, Hong-Chen Jiang) 2015)

$$\begin{split} H &= t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (1 - n_{i\downarrow}) c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\uparrow} (1 - n_{j\downarrow}) + h.c. \\ &+ t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (1 - n_{i\uparrow}) c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\downarrow} (1 - n_{j\uparrow}) + h.c. \\ &(1 - n_{i\uparrow}) c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} = c_{i\uparrow} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} = S_i^- c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} \\ &c_{j\downarrow} (1 - n_{j\uparrow}) = c_{j\downarrow} c_{j\uparrow} c_{j\uparrow}^{\dagger} = c_{j\uparrow} S_j^+ \quad \text{Where } S_i^- \equiv c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\uparrow} \text{ etc.} \end{split}$$

$$H = t(1 - \delta_0)^2 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\uparrow} + h.c.)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\uparrow} + c_{j\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\uparrow}) (S_x^i S_x^j + S_y^i S_y^j)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\uparrow} - c_{j\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\uparrow}) [\vec{z} \cdot (\vec{S}_i \times \vec{S}_j)]$$
Charge current - Spin Chirality current coupling

o∤

Ö

0

Dilute gas of holes O and down spins in the background of dense up spins

Ö.

Spin current excitations are topological and carry non-zero chirality Skyrmions in 2 dimensions and Monopoles in 3-dimensions

Skyrmions are capable of binding a single hole and Gain energy through charge and spin current interaction Skyrmions form pairs and provide opportunity for pairing of two holes bound to them

This is a spin triplet cooper pair having unit orbital angular momentum ($l_z = +1$ or -1)

The system gains energy by having a small and optimal density of Skyrmions in the ground state. (N_{skyrmion} / N_{hole} << 1)

p + i p and p - i p order parameter symmetry correspond to Chiral spin liquids with opposite macroscopic chirality

Skyrmion and anti Skyrmion:

Mapping of spins in the plane $\mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{U}$ Unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2



http://www.riken.jp/en/research/rikenresearch/highlights/6527



http://www.revolvy.com/main/index.php?s=Skyrmion



A hole in a twisted spin configuration

Doucot and Wen, Phys Rev B (2002)

GrassmannTernsor Product States – A variational approach

(a new and powerful variational approach for strongly interacting fermions developed by Verstraete, Cirac, Wen, Gu and others following DMRG, matrix product and tensor network states)



FIG. 2: (Color online) Triplet SC order parameters as a function of doping. Insert: "condensation energy" ΔE as a function of doping.

Gu, Jiang, GB arXiv:1408.6820[,]

FIG. 3: (Color online) Ground state energy as a function of doping for t - J model at t/J = 30. As a benchmark, we performed DMRG calculation for a small cluster with N = 54 sites under PBC. Insert: p + ip SC order parameter as a function of doping.

Gu, Jiang, GB arXiv:1408.6820[•]

FIG. 4: (Color online)FM magnetization m and triplet SC order parameters Δ_t as a function of Zeeman field for $\delta \sim 0.2$.

Gu, Jiang, GB arXiv:1408.6820[,]

Possible Experimental Realizations

Moller et al. PHYSICAL REVIEW B 78, 024420 (2008)

He³ on graphene (Hiroshi Fukuyama et al. PRL 2012)

Ferromagnetic

Long-range magnetic order in a purely organic 2D layer adsorbed on epitaxial graphene

Garnica et al., Nat. Phys. 9, 368 (2013)

InCu_{2/3}V_{1/3}O₃ with [InO₆] and [MO₅] polyhedra (left)

Long-range magnetic order in a purely organic 2D layer adsorbed on epitaxial graphene

n

Jopological Degenerag

Emergence of Ground State degeneracy Without spontaneous symmetry breaking

Quantum Order No local order parameter description Quantum Rigidity

The degeneracy is visible in torus geometry

This degeneracy leads to **anyon** quasi particles and quantum number fractionization in 2d

Quantum Dimer Model

Kivelson. Rokhsar

Periodic BC.

Bond bosons Hard core repuls $H = J \Sigma | I \rangle \langle = | + V$

Two SECTORS jeven no. of bonds. ii) Odd no: of bondy He does not mix the 2 sectors

 $|G_A\rangle = Z(G)$ $C_A A$ **DISORDERED** IGB> = ZICB> GROUND STATES A --- R

Ordinary Superconductor m'2D. & Laughlin fQH states. have topological degenercies

Quantization of

Center of Mars degree of forcedon

TD's have consequences excitation spectrum

in the

m 2 D. Anyons $i\theta$ $e^{i\theta}$ $(\vec{r}_{2}, \vec{r}_{1})$ $\psi(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2) \rightarrow$ = TT. Semian P

Non-Abelian Anyons

Ψ**(⁽!....,^k)**

a = 1, 2, (degeneracy)

 $\psi(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}) \longrightarrow \Sigma D_{ab}\psi(\mathbf{r}_{2},\mathbf{r}_{2})$ $\overline{\nabla}_{1}$

Ŷ¥.

· ↓ -> Ø, Ø, Ø, Ø, Y. · nom commuting

It is a highly Frustrated Quantum Spin System

$$H = -J_x \sum_{x-\text{links}} \sigma_j^x \sigma_k^x - J_y \sum_{y-\text{links}} \sigma_j^y \sigma_k^y - J_z \sum_{z-\text{links}} \sigma_j^z \sigma_k^z$$

Local Conserved Quantities

$$K_{jk} = \begin{cases} \sigma_j^x \sigma_k^x, & \text{if } (j,k) \text{ is an } x\text{-link}; \\ \sigma_j^x \sigma_k^y, & \text{if } (j,k) \text{ is an } y\text{-link}; \\ \sigma_j^x \sigma_k^z, & \text{if } (j,k) \text{ is an } z\text{-link}. \end{cases}$$

$$W_{p}^{2} = 1$$

**Eigen values of
$$W_p = 1, -1$$**

$$W_p = \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^y \sigma_3^z \sigma_4^x \sigma_5^y \sigma_6^z = K_{12} K_{23} K_{34} K_{45} K_{56} K_{61}$$

$$[K_{ij}, W_p] = 0 \quad \forall i, j, p \quad \Rightarrow \quad [H, W_p] = 0 , \quad [W_q, W_p] = 0 \quad \forall q, p$$

Number of unit cell 2N Number of sites N Number of Plaquettes N Number of spins 2N

Hilbert space dimension 2^{2N} Number of W_p 's is NThey can take 2^N different valuesSo ther are 2^N different W_p sectors

 $2^{2N} = 2^{N} + 2^{N} + \dots + 2^{N}$ 2N different Wp sectors

$$2^{N}$$
 2^{N} 2^{N} 2^{N} 2^{N}

Dirac or complex Fermion

$$\{\psi, \psi_{j}\} = \delta_{ij}$$

$$\psi = \frac{i}{2}(\zeta + i\gamma)$$

$$Majorana or$$
Real fermions
$$\{\zeta, \zeta_{j}\} = \delta_{ij}$$

$$\eta^{2} = \zeta^{2} = 1$$
Hilbert space dimensions
$$D_{z} = \sqrt{2}$$

$$\delta_{z} = \sqrt{2}$$

$$\delta_{z} = \sqrt{2}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{F} = \mathcal{D}_{F} \mathcal{A}_{F} = \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} = 2$$

Majorana Fermions

A system with *n* fermionic modes is usually described by the annihilation and creation operators a_k, a_k^{\dagger} (k = 1, ..., n).

Instead, one can use their linear combinations,

$$c_{2k-1} = a_k + a_k^{\dagger}, \qquad c_{2k} = \frac{a_k - a_k^{\dagger}}{i},$$

which are called Majorana operators.

The operators c_j (j = 1, ..., 2n) are Hermitian and obey

$$c_j^2 = 1,$$
 $c_j c_l = -c_l c_j$ if $j \neq l.$

Hilbert space enlargement

Used in RVB theory (GB, Anderson, Zou, 1987)

$$\begin{cases} a_{\uparrow}, a_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}, a_{\downarrow}, a_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} \end{cases} \qquad \{ |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle \} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} |00\rangle_{\uparrow\downarrow}, |11\rangle_{\uparrow\downarrow}, |01\rangle_{\uparrow\downarrow}, |10\rangle_{\uparrow\downarrow} \end{cases}$$

$$\vec{\sigma_{i}} = \vec{\alpha_{ij}} \vec{\alpha_{ij}} \qquad \vec{\sigma_{i}} = \vec{\alpha_{ij}} \vec{\alpha_{ij}} \qquad \vec{\alpha_{ij}} = \vec{\alpha_{ij}} \vec{\alpha_{ij}} \qquad$$

$$b^x = a_{\uparrow} + a_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}, \quad b^y = -i\left(a_{\uparrow} - a_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}\right), \quad b^z = a_{\downarrow} + a_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}, \quad c = -i\left(a_{\downarrow} - a_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}\right)$$

Dimension of enlarged Hilbert space is 4^{2N} **compared to physical Hilbert space dimension** 2^{2N}

$$\sigma_j^x \mapsto -ib_j^y b_j^z, \quad \sigma_j^y \mapsto -ib_j^z b_j^x, \quad \sigma_j^z \mapsto -ib_j^x b_j^y$$

Local Constrant

$$D_j |\xi\rangle = |\xi\rangle$$
 for all j $D_j = b_j^x b_j^y b_j^z c_j$

$$K_{jk} = \sigma_j^{\alpha} \sigma_k^{\alpha} \longrightarrow \widetilde{K}_{jk} = (ib_j^{\alpha}c_j)(ib_k^{\alpha}c_k) = -i(ib_j^{\alpha}b_k^{\alpha})c_jc_k$$

 α takes values $x,\,y$ or z

$$\hat{u}_{jk} = i b_j^{\alpha} b_k^{\alpha} \longrightarrow \hat{u}_{kj} = -\hat{u}_{jk}$$

with the Hamiltonian and with each other

A two body interaction term (four fermion term) is reduced to a two body term

$$\widetilde{K}_{jk} = (ib_j^{\alpha}c_j)(ib_k^{\alpha}c_k) = -i(ib_j^{\alpha}b_k^{\alpha})c_jc_k \longrightarrow \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{u}_{jk}) \mathbf{C}_j\mathbf{C}_k$$

RVB mean field factorisation becomes exact !

We have free majorana fermion hopping Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{H} = \frac{i}{4} \sum_{j,k} \widehat{A}_{jk} c_j c_k, \qquad \widehat{A}_{jk} = \begin{cases} 2J_{\alpha_{jk}} \widehat{u}_{jk} & \text{if } j \text{ and } k \text{ are connected} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

A complex interacting Hard core boson problem is reduced to a Free majorana fermion problem Hilbert space dimension 2^{2N}

Sufficient to solve a one particle problem on a Honey comb lattice ! Hilbert space dimension 2N From this we can build the many particle Fock space of dimension 2^{2N}

To satisfy local Constrant and go to physical Hilbert space we need to do some projection (similar to Gutzwiller projection in RVB theory)

$$|\Psi_w\rangle = \prod_j \left(\frac{1+D_j}{2}\right) |\widetilde{\Psi}_u\rangle \in \mathcal{L}$$

However, to calculate physical quantities such as energy spectrum spin-spin correlation functions etc., no such projection is necessary !

This follows from an emergent local Z₂ Gauge symmetry in the problem

Each W_p sector has 2^{2N} gauge copies. Gauge invariant quantities are the physical observables

Solving the free Majorana problem in different W_p sectors $\widetilde{H}_u = \frac{i}{4} \sum_{j,k} A_{jk} c_j c_k$

 \boldsymbol{A} is a real skew-symmetric matrix

$$H_{\text{canonical}} = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \varepsilon_k b'_k b''_k = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \varepsilon_k (a_k^{\dagger} a_k - \frac{1}{2}), \qquad \varepsilon_k \ge 0,$$

$$(b'_1, b''_1, \dots, b'_m, b''_m) = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2m-1}, c_{2m})Q$$

$$A = Q \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{1} & & \\ -\varepsilon_{1} & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & \varepsilon_{m} \\ & & & -\varepsilon_{m} & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q^{T} \begin{pmatrix} a^{*} & \\ a \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i & \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ b^{\prime\prime} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$a_{k} |\Psi\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } k$$
$$E = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{k} = -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} |iA|$$

Absolute ground state energy is obtained in the Sector where $W_p = 1$ in all plaquettes (Lieb's Theorem)

The spectrum of free fermions is obtained by Fourier transform If we use periodic boundary condition

$$H = (i/4) \sum_{s,\lambda,t,\mu} A_{s\lambda,t\mu} c_{s\lambda} c_{t\mu} \qquad H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q},\lambda,\mu} i \widetilde{A}_{\lambda\mu}(\mathbf{q}) a_{-\mathbf{q},\lambda} a_{\mathbf{q},\mu}$$

unit cell

$$i \widetilde{A}(\mathbf{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & if(\mathbf{q}) \\ -if(\mathbf{q})^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \widetilde{A}_{\lambda\mu}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{t} e^{i(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}_{t})} A_{0\lambda,t\mu}$$

$$f(\mathbf{q}) = 2(J_{x}e^{i(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{n}_{1})} + J_{y}e^{i(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{n}_{2})} + J_{z}) \qquad a_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} \sum_{s} e^{-i(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}_{s})} c_{s\lambda}$$

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{q}) = \pm |f(\mathbf{q})|$$

-1

 $\mathbf{n}_1 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}), \ \mathbf{n}_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$

The triangle is the section of the positive octant $(J_x, J_y, J_z \ge 0)$ by the plane $J_x + J_y + J_z = 1$

 $J_{x} = J_{y} = J_{z}$

GRAPHENE LIKE SPECTRUM (ONLY PARTICLES & NO HOLES) A particle is its own autoparticle!

Perturbation theory in powers of the ratios J_x/J_z and J_y/J_z

$$H_{\rm eff} = -\frac{J_x^2 J_y^2}{16|J_z|^3} \sum_p Q_p \longrightarrow -J_{\rm eff} \left(\sum_{\rm vertices} A_s + \sum_{\rm plaquettes} B_p \right)$$

 $Q_p = \sigma_{\text{left}(p)}^y \sigma_{\text{right}(p)}^y \sigma_{\text{up}(p)}^z \sigma_{\text{down}(p)}^z$

$$H_{eff} = -J_{eff} \left(\sum_{vertices} A_s + \sum_{plaquettes} B_p \right)$$
$$A_s = \prod_{j \in star(s)} \sigma_j^x, \quad B_p = \prod_{j \in boundary(p)} \sigma_j^z$$
$$[A_s, B_p] = [B_p, B_q] = [A_s, A_r] = 0$$

To create a pair of e, or move an e through a path t e and m are bosons; we must apply: Moving an e around an m yields -1

$$S^{z}\left(t\right) = \prod_{j \in t} \sigma_{j}^{z}$$

To create a pair of m, or move an m through a path t' we must apply:

em composite is a fermion

em = em

In the gapful phase we have only Abelian Anyons **Application of an external magnetic field** or addition of a specific 3 spin interaction term **Produces a phase where there are Non Abelian Anyons**

3 spin interaction term

(model continues to be exactly solvable and all W_p commute with the full Hamiltonian)

$$\sigma_{j}^{x}\sigma_{k}^{y}\sigma_{l}^{z} = \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$H = \frac{i}{4} \sum_{\langle \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \rangle} A_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{j}} c_{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$i\widetilde{A}(\mathbf{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta(\mathbf{q}) & if(\mathbf{q}) \\ -if(\mathbf{q})^{*} & -\Delta(\mathbf{q}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$f(\mathbf{q}) = 2J(e^{i(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{n}_{1})} + e^{i(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{n}_{2})} + 1)$$

$$\Delta(\mathbf{q}) = 4\kappa \left(\sin(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{n}_{1}) + \sin(\mathbf{q},-\mathbf{n}_{2}) + \sin(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{n}_{2}-\mathbf{n}_{1}) \right)$$

 $\varepsilon(\mathbf{q}) \approx \pm \sqrt{3J^2 |\delta \mathbf{q}|^2 + \Delta^2}$



 $\sum_{(i,j,k)\in p} K_{ijk}\sigma_i^x\sigma_j^y\sigma_k^z = K_{123}\sigma_1^z\sigma_2^y\sigma_3^x + K_{234}\sigma_2^x\sigma_3^z\sigma_4^y + K_{345}\sigma_3^y\sigma_4^x\sigma_5^z + K_{456}\sigma_4^z\sigma_5^y\sigma_6^x$

 $+K_{561}\sigma_5^x\sigma_6^z\sigma_1^y + K_{612}\sigma_6^y\sigma_1^x\sigma_2^z.$

How do we get Non Abelian anyons ?

We start with spins but end up with two types of emergent elementary constituents in the problem



The physical spectrum for a given flux configuration contains only propagating complex (Dirac) fermion excitations (linear combination of majorana fermions)

However, on introduction of the 3 spin interaction term a flux excitation binds a single localized majorana fermion mode for J's near the isotropic point $J_x = J_y = J_z$

The Majorana Fermion Flux composite is our Non Abelian Anyon



In the Abelian phasd two well isolated vortices have a non degenerate ground state



The vortices interact and the degeneracy is in general split. However, the splitting vanishes exponentiall as a function of separataion

In the Non Abelian phasd two well isolated vortices have a doubly degenerate ground state



2 well separated vortices Ground state degeneracy is



For M well separated vortices Ground state degeneracy is (52)Let us denote the ground states by

a = 1, 2, ...(12) (degeneracy) (**M**, **A** = (M) $\longrightarrow \sum_{k} D_{ab} \psi(r_{2}, r_{2})$



Ŷ¥.



· ↓ -> Ø, Ø, Ø, Ø, Y. · nom commuting

Ville Lahtinen¹ and Jiannis K Pachos New Journal of Physics 11 (2009) 093027



Superselection sectors: 1 (vacuum), ε (fermion), σ (vortex).

Quantum dimension:
$$d_1 = 1,$$
 $d_{\varepsilon} = 1$ $d_{\sigma} = \sqrt{2};$ Topological spin: $\theta_1 = 1,$ $\theta_{\varepsilon} = -1,$ $\theta_{\sigma} = \theta = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{8}i\nu\right);$ Frobenius-Schur indicator: $\varkappa_1 = 1,$ $\varkappa_{\varepsilon} = 1,$ $\varkappa_{\sigma} = \varkappa = (-1)^{(\nu^2 - 1)/8}.$

Global dimension: $\mathcal{D}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_u d_u^2 = 4.$

Fusion rules: $\varepsilon \times \varepsilon = 1$, $\varepsilon \times \sigma = \sigma$, $\sigma \times \sigma = 1 + \varepsilon$. **Providing rules:**

Braiding rules:

Definition of
$$R_z^{xy}$$
:
 $\begin{array}{cccc}
& & & \\ &$

Topological S-matrix:

$$(S_z)_{xy} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} \bigotimes_{y}^{\mathbf{x}} z; \qquad S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (S_\varepsilon)_{\sigma\sigma} = \exp(-\frac{\pi}{4}i\nu)$$

Anomalous spin-spin correlation function and Quatnum number fractionization

GB, Mandal, Shankar PRL 2007



Any one of the component of Pauli spin operators create a Composite of a Majorana Fermion a pair of flux excitations, while on acting on the ground state.

$$\sigma_i^{\alpha}|G\rangle \cong \hat{\pi}_{\alpha}, \hat{\pi}_{\alpha}, C_i, |G\rangle$$

This state evolves in time. The fluxes stay localized. The Majorana fermion gets delocalized.



Non-Abelian Statistics of Half-Quantum vortices in p-Wave Superconductors D.A. Ivanov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86,** 268 (2001)

Superconductivity in Sr₂RuO₄

Y. Maeno et al., *Nature* **372**, 532 (1994)

Theoretical Prediction of p-Wave Superconductivity

T. M. Rice, M. Sigrist, *J. Phys. Cond. Matter* **7**, L643 (1995)

G. Baskaran, *Physica B* **223-224**, 490 (1996); *Trieste Workshop July* 1995

The intriguing superconductivity of strontium ruthenate Y. Maeno, T. M. Rice, M. Sigrist, *Physics Today* (p 42-47), Jan 2001

The superconductivity of Sr₂RuO₄ and the physics of spin-triplet pairing
 A.P. Mackenzee, Y. Maeno, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 75, 657 - 712 (2003)

