

NONVANISHING OF KERNEL FUNCTIONS FOR JACOBI FORMS

SHIVANSH PANDEY AND BRUNDABAN SAHU

ABSTRACT. Y. Martin introduced a set of kernel functions for the Jacobi group to study $2m$ Dirichlet series associated with a Jacobi form of weight k and index m . We study nonvanishing of these kernel functions and also study nonvanishing of $2m$ Dirichlet series associated with Jacobi form of weight k and index m .

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let S_k be the space of cusp forms of weight k for the full modular group $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with the usual Petersson inner product \langle, \rangle . For a cusp form $f(z) \in S_k$ with Fourier expansion $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n$ we associate the Hecke L -function $L(f, s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$ for $\sigma = \operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{k+1}{2}$. The completed L -function $L^*(f, s) := (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L(f, s)$ has a holomorphic continuation to \mathbb{C} and satisfies the functional equation $L^*(f, k-s) = (-1)^{k/2} L^*(f, s)$. It is well-known that zeroes of $L^*(f, s)$ can occur only inside the critical strip $(k-1)/2 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < (k+1)/2$, and according to the generalized Riemann hypothesis all the zeroes should lie on the line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = k/2$. In this direction Kohnen [7] proved the following nonvanishing results for L -functions on average:

Theorem 1.1. [7] *Let $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{\dim S_k}\}$ be the basis of normalized Hecke eigenforms of S_k . Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a constant $C(t_0, \epsilon) > 0$ depending only on t_0 and ϵ such that for $k > C(t_0, \epsilon)$, the function*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\dim S_k} \frac{1}{\langle f_i, f_i \rangle} L^*(f_i, s)$$

does not vanish at any point $s = \sigma + it$ with $t = t_0$, $(k-1)/2 < \sigma < k/2 - \epsilon$, $k/2 + \epsilon < \sigma < (k+1)/2$.

Corollary 1.2. [7] *Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. For $k > C(t_0, \epsilon)$, and any $s = \sigma + it$ with $t = t_0$, $(k-1)/2 < \sigma < k/2 - \epsilon$, $k/2 + \epsilon < \sigma < (k+1)/2$, there exists a Hecke eigenform $f \in S_k$ such that $L^*(f, s) \neq 0$.*

For the complex numbers z and s with $z \neq 0$ we set $z^s = \exp(s \log z)$ with $\log z = \log |z| + i \arg z$ and $-\pi < \arg z \leq \pi$. We fix the notation $e(x) := \exp(2\pi i x)$, $e^m(x) := \exp(2\pi i m x)$.

Date: January 28, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11E50; Secondary 11F66, 11E30.

Key words and phrases. Jacobi forms, Dirichlet series.

Kohnen considered the following functions (called kernel functions) in S_k to prove the nonvanishing of L -function. For $z \in \mathbb{H}$ and $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$ with $1 < \sigma < k - 1$, define the function $R_{k,s}$ by

$$R_{k,s}(z) = \gamma_k(s) \sum_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma} (cz + d)^{-k} \left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right)^{-s}, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma_k(s) := \frac{1}{2} e^{\pi i \frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(s) \Gamma(k - s)$. These kernel functions dual w.r.t the Petersson inner product gives the values $L^*(f, s)$ upto a constant. More precisely,

$$\langle f, R_{k,s} \rangle = \frac{(-1)^{k/2} \pi (k - 2)!}{2^{k-2}} L^*(f, s), \quad (2)$$

for all cusp forms $f \in S_k$. Kohnen computed the Fourier coefficients of these kernel functions explicitly and estimate the first Fourier coefficient in an appropriate way to conclude the nonvanishing of L -functions on the average.

Theorem 1.3. [7] *The function $R_{k,s}(z)$ has the Fourier expansion*

$$R_{k,s}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} r_{k,s}(n) q^n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k,s}(n) = & (2\pi)^s \Gamma(k - s) n^{s-1} + (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} (2\pi)^{k-s} \Gamma(s) n^{k-s-1} + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} (2\pi)^k n^{k-1} \\ & \times \sum_{\substack{(a,c) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ g.c.d(a,c)=1}} c^{-k} \left(\frac{c}{a} \right)^s \left[e^{2\pi i n a' / c} e^{\pi i \frac{s}{2}} {}_1f_1(s, k; -2\pi i n / ac) \right. \\ & \left. + e^{-2\pi i n a' / c} e^{-\pi i \frac{s}{2}} {}_1f_1(s, k; 2\pi i n / ac) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $a' \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an inverse of a modulo c and

$${}_1f_1(\alpha, \beta; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta - \alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta)} {}_1F_1(\alpha, \beta; z), \quad (4)$$

and ${}_1F_1$ is Kummer's degenerate hypergeometric function.

The work of Kohnen by constructing kernel functions and proving nonvanishing of L -functions has motivated many authors to work in other automorphic forms like Siegel modular forms [8], Hilbert modular forms [6]. Recently the authors in [10] proved the nonvanishing of the kernel function $R_{k,s}$ at certain real point by analytic estimate and deduced the nonvanishing of L -function associated with a Hecke eigenform.

Jacobi forms are natural generalization of modular forms, the classical Jacobi theta function is an example of Jacobi form. The Fourier-Jacobi expansion of Siegel modular forms over the symplectic group $Sp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are natural examples of Jacobi forms. Consider the Jacobi group $\Gamma^J := SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ consisting elements of the

type (M, X) where $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $X = (\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with the group law

$$(M, X)(M', X') = (MM', XM' + X').$$

The group Γ^J acts on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ via

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda, \nu) \right) \cdot (\tau, z) := \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z + \lambda\tau + \nu}{c\tau + d} \right).$$

For positive integers k and m , consider the automorphic factor

$$j_{k,m}(h, \tau, z) := (c\tau + d)^{-k} e^m \left(\frac{-c(z + \lambda\tau + \nu)^2}{c\tau + d} + \lambda^2\tau + 2\lambda z + \lambda\nu \right),$$

where $h = \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda, \nu) \right)$. Now we define an action of Γ^J on the collection of holomorphic functions $\phi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ via $\phi \mapsto \phi|_{k,m}h$, where

$$\phi|_{k,m}h(\tau, z) := j_{k,m}(h, \tau, z)\phi(h.(\tau, z)).$$

Let k and m be positive integers. A Jacobi form of weight k and index m over the Jacobi group Γ^J is any holomorphic function $\phi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies $\phi|_{k,m}h = \phi$ for all $h \in \Gamma^J$, and has a Fourier series expression of the form

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{n, r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 4mn \geq r^2}} c(n, r) q^n \zeta^r, \quad (q = e(\tau), \zeta = e(z)).$$

Furthermore, a Jacobi form ϕ is said to be a cusp form if it has a Fourier series expression of the form

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{n, r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 4mn > r^2}} c(n, r) q^n \zeta^r.$$

Let $J_{k,m}$ be the set of all Jacobi forms of weight k and index m which is a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} . Let $J_{k,m}^{cusp}$ be the subspace of Jacobi cusp forms of weight k and index m which is a finite dimensional Hilbert space w.r.t the Petersson inner product

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle := \int_{\Gamma^J \backslash \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}} \phi(\tau, z) \overline{\psi(\tau, z)} v^k e^{-4\pi m y^2 / v} dV,$$

where $dV := v^{-3} dx dy du dv$, $(\tau = u + iv, z = x + iy)$. For details on Jacobi forms we refer [4].

The Fourier coefficients of Jacobi form satisfy $c(n, r) = c(n', r')$ whenever $r' \equiv r \pmod{2m}$ and $4n'm - r'^2 = 4nm - r^2$, i.e. $c(n, r)$ depends only on $4nm - r^2$ and on $r \pmod{2m}$. Set $c_r(D) := c(n, r)$ if $D = 4nm - r^2$, else $c_r(D) = 0$. A Jacobi form of weight k and index m can be written as

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} h_\mu(\tau) \Theta_{m,\mu}(\tau, z)$$

where $h_\mu(\tau) = \sum_{D=1}^{\infty} c_\mu(D) q^{D/4m}$ and $\Theta_{m,\mu}(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ r \equiv \mu \pmod{2m}}} q^{r^2/4m} \zeta^r$. The above representation is called the theta decomposition of Jacobi form ϕ .

To any Jacobi cusp form ϕ with the theta decomposition $\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} h_\mu(\tau) \Theta_{m,\mu}(\tau, z)$, we associate the function $\bar{\phi}(\tau, z) := \overline{\phi(-\bar{\tau}, -\bar{z})}$ which is also a Jacobi cusp form with the associated coefficients $\bar{c}_\mu(D)$ instead of $c_\mu(D)$ in the corresponding theta decomposition.

To any Jacobi cusp form ϕ with the theta decomposition $\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} h_\mu(\tau) \Theta_{m,\mu}(\tau, z)$, Berndt [2] associated the $2m$ -tuple Dirichlet series

$$L_\mu(\phi, s) := \sum_{D=1}^{\infty} c_\mu(D) \left(\frac{D}{4m} \right)^{-s}$$

for $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$. We also set $\Lambda_\mu(\phi, s) := (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L_\mu(\phi, s)$. These series are uniformly convergent on compact subsets of the half plane $\text{Re}(s) > k/2 + 1$. Berndt [2] established following analytic properties using a variation of the Mellin transformation (also see [11] for another proof).

Theorem 1.4. [11] *Let $k > 9, m$ be positive integers and $\phi \in J_{k,m}^{cusp}$. Then every completed Dirichlet series $\Lambda_\beta(\phi, s)$, with $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$, admits an analytic continuation to the whole complex plane, and they satisfy the set of $2m$ functional equations*

$$\Lambda_\beta(\bar{\phi}, s) = \frac{i^k}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} \exp(\pi i \mu \beta / m) \Lambda_\mu(\bar{\phi}, k - s - 1/2). \quad (5)$$

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $1 < \text{Re}(s) < k - 3$ and $t_0 \in (2m)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$, define the function

$$\Omega_{t_0,s}^{k,m}(\tau, z) = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}^J \backslash \Gamma^J} \phi_{t_0,s}|_{k,m} h(\tau, z), \quad (6)$$

where $\phi_{t_0,s}(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{\tau^s} e^m \left(\frac{-(z - t_0)^2}{\tau} \right)$, $\mathcal{H}^J = \{(Id, (\lambda, 0)) | \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. A collection of coset representatives for the elements in $\mathcal{H}^J \backslash \Gamma^J$ is given by $\{(I, (0, \nu))(M, (0, 0)) | M \in \Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \nu \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Martin [11] proved the following result for Jacobi forms analogous to (2).

Theorem 1.5. [11] *Let k and m be positive integers with $k > 6$ and $t_0 \in (2m)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$. If $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $1 < \text{Re}(s) < k - 3$, then the series $\Omega_{t_0,s}^{k,m}$ defines a Jacobi cusp form in $J_{k,m}^{cusp}$. Moreover*

$$\langle \Omega_{t_0,s}^{k,m}, \phi \rangle = \frac{\pi}{2^{k-2} e^{\pi i s/2}} \frac{\Gamma(k - 3/2)}{\Gamma(s - 1/2) \Gamma(k - s)} \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} \exp(-2\pi i \mu t_0) \Lambda_\mu(\bar{\phi}, k - s), \quad (7)$$

for all $\phi \in J_{k,m}^{cusp}$ and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\frac{3}{2} < \text{Re}(s) < \frac{k}{2} - 2$.

Following the work on Kohnen, we explicitly compute the Fourier coefficients of the kernel functions $\Omega_{t_0,s}^{k,m}$ and establish the nonvanishing of any general (n, r) -coefficient of the kernel functions $\Omega_{t_0,s}^{k,m}$ for large k . Further as applications we deduce nonvanishing of the Dirichlet series $\Lambda_\mu(\phi, s)$ for a Jacobi form ϕ and a result on nonvanishing of Jacobi Poincaré series.

2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Let k and m be positive integers with $k > 6$ and $t_0 \in (2m)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$. If $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $1 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < k - 3$, then $\Omega_{t_0,s}^{k,m}$ has the Fourier expansion*

$$\Omega_{t_0,s}^{k,m}(\tau, z) = \sum_{4nm > r^2} \omega(n, r) q^n \zeta^r,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(n, r) = & \alpha_s m^{1-s} D^{s-\frac{3}{2}} (e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0)) \\ & + (-1)^s (2im)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{k-s+\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}+s-k} D^{k-s-1} (1 + (-1)^k) \\ & + (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (2\pi)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{5}{2}-2k} m^{1-k} (-D)^{k-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(k-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{ac>0, (a,c)=1} \left(\frac{a}{c}\right)^{k-s} a^{-k} \\ & \sum_{b(a*), \nu(a)} e\left(\frac{r(\nu-t_0)}{a}\right) \left[e^m \left(\frac{-(\nu-t_0)^2 c}{a} \right) e\left(\frac{nc'}{a}\right) {}_1F_1\left(k-s, k-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{2\pi i D}{4mac}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + e^m \left(\frac{(\nu-t_0)^2 c}{a} \right) e\left(\frac{-nc'}{a}\right) {}_1F_1\left(k-s, k-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{-2\pi i D}{4mac}\right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $D = 4nm - r^2$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\omega(n, r)$ be the (n, r) -Fourier coefficient of $\Omega_{t_0,s}^{k,m}$ as above. Given any positive integer m, n, r such that $m \nmid 2r$, there exist k_0 such that $\omega(n, r) \neq 0$ for $k > k_0$.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let m be any positive integer, $t' \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a constant $k_0 = k_0(t', \epsilon)$ such that for $k > k_0$ and any $s = \sigma + it'$ with $\frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{4} < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \epsilon$, $\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \epsilon < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ there exist a Hecke eigenform $\phi \in J_{k,m}^{cusp}$ such that vector valued function $\Lambda(\bar{\phi}, s) := (\Lambda_1(\bar{\phi}, s), \Lambda_2(\bar{\phi}, s), \dots, \Lambda_{2m}(\bar{\phi}, s)) \neq 0$.*

3. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By definition of $\Omega_{t_0,s}^{k,m}$ we have

$$\Omega_{t_0,s}^{k,m}(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma}} (c\tau + d)^{-k} e^m \left(\frac{-cz^2}{c\tau + d} \right) \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right)^{-s} e^m \left(\frac{-\left(\frac{z}{c\tau + d} + \nu - t_0\right)^2}{\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}} \right). \quad (9)$$

We split the sum into three parts corresponding to $c = 0, a = 0$ and $ac \neq 0$. Contribution of the sum corresponding to $c = 0$ in (9) is due to matrices $\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, which we denote by C_0 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= \sum_{l, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[(\tau + l)^{-s} e^m \left(\frac{-(z + \nu - t_0)^2}{\tau + l} \right) + (-1)^k (\tau + l)^{-s} e^m \left(\frac{-(-z + \nu - t_0)^2}{\tau + l} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{l, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[(\tau + l)^{-s} e^m \left(\frac{-(z + \nu - t_0)^2}{\tau + l} \right) + (-1)^k (\tau + l)^{-s} e^m \left(\frac{-(z + \nu + t_0)^2}{\tau + l} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The contribution of first part of above sum to the (n, r) -Fourier coefficient, which we denote by $C_{01}(n, r)$, is

$$\begin{aligned} C_{01}(n, r) &= \int_{iC_1 - \infty}^{iC_1 + \infty} \tau^{-s} \left(\int_{iC_2 - \infty}^{iC_2 + \infty} e^m \left(-\frac{(z - t_0)^2}{\tau} \right) e(-rz) dz \right) e(-n\tau) d\tau, \quad (C_1 > 0, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}) \\ &= e(-rt_0) \int_{iC_1 - \infty}^{iC_1 + \infty} \tau^{-s} \left(\int_{iC_2 - \infty}^{iC_2 + \infty} e^m \left(-\frac{z^2}{\tau} - rz \right) dz \right) e(-n\tau) d\tau \\ &= e(-rt_0) \int_{iC_1 - \infty}^{iC_1 + \infty} \tau^{-s} \left(\frac{\tau}{2im} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e \left(\frac{r^2 \tau}{4m} \right) e(-n\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

If $r^2 \geq 4nm$ then we can deform the integral at $C_1 = \infty$ to get $C_{01}(n, r) = 0$. If

$r^2 < 4nm$ then we have $C_{01}(n, r) = e(-rt_0) \alpha_s m^{1-s} D^{s-\frac{3}{2}}$, where $\alpha_s = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{s}{2}\pi^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}}{2^{s-2}\Gamma(s-\frac{1}{2})}$.

Similarly we can compute the contribution corresponding to the second part of C_0 . Hence we get the contribution of C_0 in (n, r) -Fourier coefficient, which we denote by $C_0(n, r)$.

$$C_0(n, r) = \alpha_s m^{1-s} D^{s-\frac{3}{2}} (e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0)). \quad (10)$$

Contribution of the sum corresponding to $a = 0$ in (9) is due to matrices $\pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & l \end{pmatrix}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, which we denote by A_0 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \sum_{l, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[(\tau + l)^{-k} \left(\frac{-1}{\tau + l} \right)^{-s} e^m \left(\frac{-z^2}{\tau + l} \right) e^m \left(\frac{-\left(\frac{z}{\tau+l} + \nu - t_0\right)^2}{\frac{-1}{\tau+l}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^k (\tau + l)^{-k} \left(\frac{-1}{\tau + l} \right)^{-s} e^m \left(\frac{-z^2}{\tau + l} \right) e^m \left(\frac{-\left(-\frac{z}{\tau+l} + \nu - t_0\right)^2}{\frac{-1}{\tau+l}} \right) \right] \\ &= (-1)^{-s} \sum_{l, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[(\tau + l)^{-k+s} e^m \left((\nu - t_0)^2 (\tau + l) + 2z(\nu - t_0) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^k (\tau + l)^{-k+s} e^m \left((\nu - t_0)^2 (\tau + l) + 2z(t_0 - \nu) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Calculating as before we get the contribution of A_0 to (n, r) -Fourier coefficient, which we denote by $A_0(n, r)$

$$A_0(n, r) = 0, \quad r^2 \geq 4nm,$$

and if $r^2 < 4nm$ then we get

$$A_0(n, r) = (-1)^{-s} (2im)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{k-s+\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}+s-k} D^{k-s-1} (1 + (-1)^k). \quad (11)$$

Now assume $ac \neq 0$. The contribution of the sum corresponding to terms $ac \neq 0$ in (9), which we denote by B_0 , is

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= \sum_{\substack{ac \neq 0 \\ (a,c)=1, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}}} (c\tau + d)^{-k} e^m \left(\frac{-cz^2}{c\tau + d} \right) \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right)^{-s} e^m \left(\frac{-\left(\frac{z}{c\tau + d} + \nu - t_0\right)^2}{\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{ac \neq 0 \\ (a,c)=1, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left(\frac{c\tau + d}{a\tau + b} \right)^{-k+s} (a\tau + b)^{-k} e^{-m} \left(\frac{a}{a\tau + b} \left(z + \frac{\nu - t_0}{a} \right)^2 \right) e^{-m} \left((\nu - t_0)^2 \frac{c}{a} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{ac \neq 0 \\ (a,c)=1, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}}} a^{-k} \left(\frac{c}{a} - \frac{1}{a^2(\tau + \frac{b}{a})} \right)^{-k+s} \left(\tau + \frac{b}{a} \right)^{-k} e^{-m} \left(\frac{\left(z + \frac{\nu - t_0}{a} \right)^2}{\tau + \frac{b}{a}} \right) e^{-m} \left((\nu - t_0)^2 \frac{c}{a} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{ac \neq 0, (a,c)=1 \\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \nu(a), b(a*)}} a^{-k} \left(\frac{c}{a} - \frac{1}{a^2(\tau + \beta + \frac{b}{a})} \right)^{-k+s} \left(\tau + \beta + \frac{b}{a} \right)^{-k} e^{-m} \left(\frac{\left(z + \alpha + \frac{\nu - t_0}{a} \right)^2}{\tau + \beta + \frac{b}{a}} \right) \\ &\quad \times e^{-m} \left((\nu - t_0)^2 \frac{c}{a} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{ac \neq 0, (a,c)=1 \\ \nu(a), b(a*)}} a^{-k} F_{c,a} \left(\tau + \frac{b}{a}, z + \frac{\nu - t_0}{a} \right) e^{-m} \left((\nu - t_0)^2 \frac{c}{a} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F_{(c,a)}(\tau, z) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{c}{a} - \frac{1}{a^2(\tau + \beta)} \right)^{-k+s} (\tau + \beta)^{-k} e^{-m} \left(\frac{(z + \alpha)^2}{\tau + \beta} \right).$$

Contribution of the terms with $ac > 0$ in $F_{c,a}$ to (n, r) -Fourier coefficients, which we denote by $F_{c,a}^+(n, r)$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{c,a}^+(n, r) &= \int_{iC_1-\infty}^{iC_1+\infty} \left(\frac{c}{a} - \frac{1}{a^2\tau} \right)^{-k+s} \tau^{-k} \left(\int_{iC_2-\infty}^{iC_2+\infty} e^{-m\left(\frac{z^2}{\tau}\right)} e(-rz) dz \right) e(-n\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_{iC_1-\infty}^{iC_1+\infty} \left(\frac{c}{a}\tau - \frac{1}{a^2} \right)^{-k+s} \tau^{-s} \int_{iC_2-\infty}^{iC_2+\infty} \left(e^m \left(-\frac{z^2}{\tau} - rz \right) dz \right) e(-n\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_{iC_1-\infty}^{iC_1+\infty} \left(\frac{c}{a}\tau - \frac{1}{a^2} \right)^{-k+s} \tau^{-s} \left(\frac{\tau}{2im} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e\left(\frac{-D}{4m} \right) \tau d\tau \end{aligned}$$

If $r^2 \geq 4nm$ then again above integral becomes 0. If $r^2 < 4nm$ then we make the change of variable $\tau \mapsto \frac{a}{c}it$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{c,a}^+(n, r) &= \int_{iC_1-\infty}^{iC_1+\infty} \left(it - \frac{1}{a^2} \right)^{-k+s} \left(\frac{a}{c}it \right)^{-s} \left(\frac{at}{2cm} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{2\pi i \left(\left(\frac{-D}{4m} \right) \frac{a}{c} it \right)} \frac{a}{c} idt \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{-k+1}{2}} \left(\frac{a}{c} \right)^{-s+\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \int_{C-i\infty}^{C+i\infty} \left(t + \frac{i}{a^2} \right)^{-k+s} t^{-s+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2\pi i \left(\left(\frac{-D}{4m} \right) \frac{a}{c} t \right)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C-i\infty}^{C+i\infty} (t+\alpha)^{-\mu} (t+\beta)^{-\nu} e^{pt} dt = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu+\nu)} p^{\mu+\nu-1} e^{-\beta p} {}_1F_1(\mu, \mu+\nu; (\beta-\alpha)p)$$

for $Re(\mu, \nu) > 0, p \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$F_{c,a}^+(n, r) = \frac{(2\pi)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a}{c} \right)^{k-s} 2^{5/2-k} m^{1-k} (-D)^{k-\frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma(k-\frac{1}{2})} {}_1F_1\left(k-s, k-\frac{1}{2}; 2\pi i \frac{(D)}{4mac}\right).$$

Similarly for $ac < 0$ we replace (a, c) by $(a, -c)$. and perform the similar calculations. Finally we have contribution of B_0 to (n, r) -Fourier coefficient which we denote by $B_0(n, r)$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B_0(n, r) &= \frac{(2\pi)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} 2^{5/2-k} m^{1-k} (-D)^{k-\frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma(k-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{(a,c)=1, ac>0} \left(\frac{a}{c} \right)^{k-s} a^{-k} \\ &\quad \sum_{cc' \equiv 1 \pmod{a}, \nu(a)} e\left(r \frac{\nu-t_0}{a} \right) \left[e^{-m\left(\frac{(\nu-t_0)^2 c}{a} \right)} e\left(\frac{nc'}{a} \right) {}_1F_1\left(k-s, k-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{2\pi i D}{4mac}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^m \left(\frac{(\nu-t_0)^2 c}{a} \right) e\left(-\frac{nc'}{a} \right) {}_1F_1\left(k-s, k-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{-2\pi i D}{4mac}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Now the theorem follows from (10), (11) and (12). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Given positive inetger m , n and r we show that $\omega(n, r)$ is non zero for large k . On contrary let $\omega(n, r) = 0$ i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \alpha_s m^{1-s} D^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \left(e(-irt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0) \right) \\
&\quad + (-1)^s (2im)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{k-s+\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}+s-k} D^{k-s-1} \left(1 + (-1)^k \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{(2\pi)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} 2^{\frac{5}{2}-2k} m^{1-k} (-D)^{k-\frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma(k-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{(a,c)=1, ac>0} \left(\frac{a}{c} \right)^{k-s} a^{-k} \\
&\quad \sum_{cc' \equiv 1 \pmod{a}, \nu(a)} e\left(\frac{r(\nu-t_0)}{a}\right) \left[e^{-m} \left(\frac{(\nu-t_0)^2 c}{a} \right) e\left(\frac{nc'}{a}\right) {}_1F_1\left(k-s, k-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{2\pi i D}{4mac}\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e^m \left(\frac{(\nu-t_0)^2 c}{a} \right) e\left(-\frac{nc'}{a}\right) {}_1F_1\left(k-s, k-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{-2\pi i D}{4mac}\right) \right]. \\
-1 &= \frac{(-1)^s (2im)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{k-s+\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}+s-k} D^{k-s-1}}{\alpha_s m^{1-s} D^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \left(e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0) \right)} \\
&\quad + \frac{(2\pi)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} 2^{\frac{5}{2}-2k} m^{1-k} (-D)^{k-\frac{3}{2}}}{\alpha_s m^{1-s} D^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \left(e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0) \right) \Gamma(k-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{(a,c)=1, ac>0} \left(\frac{a}{c} \right)^{k-s} a^{-k} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{cc' \equiv 1 \pmod{a}, \nu(a)} e\left(\frac{r(\nu-t_0)}{a}\right) \left[e^{-m} \left(\frac{(\nu-t_0)^2 c}{a} \right) e\left(\frac{nc'}{a}\right) {}_1F_1\left(k-s, k-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{2\pi i D}{4mac}\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e^m \left(\frac{(\nu-t_0)^2 c}{a} \right) e\left(-\frac{nc'}{a}\right) {}_1F_1\left(k-s, k-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{-2\pi i D}{4mac}\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Taking modulus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\leq \left| \frac{(-1)^s (2im)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{k-s+\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}+s-k} D^{k-s-1}}{\alpha_s m^{1-s} D^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \left(e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0) \right)} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \frac{(2\pi)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} 2^{\frac{5}{2}-2k} m^{1-k} (-D)^{k-\frac{3}{2}}}{\alpha_s m^{1-s} D^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \left(e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0) \right) \Gamma(k-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{(a,c)=1, ac>0} \left| \left(\frac{a}{c} \right)^{k-s} a^{-k} \right| \right| \\
&\quad \times \sum_{cc' \equiv 1 \pmod{a}, \nu(a)} \left| e\left(\frac{r(\nu-t_0)}{a}\right) \left[e^{-m} \left(\frac{(\nu-t_0)^2 c}{a} \right) e\left(\frac{nc'}{a}\right) {}_1F_1\left(k-s, k-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{2\pi i D}{4mac}\right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + e^m \left(\frac{(\nu-t_0)^2 c}{a} \right) e\left(-\frac{nc'}{a}\right) {}_1F_1\left(k-s, k-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{-2\pi i D}{4mac}\right) \right] \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

For $s = \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \delta - it'$ we have

$$1 \leq \left| \frac{(2m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{k-s+\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}+s-k} D^{k-s-1}}{\alpha_s m^{1-s} D^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \left(e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0) \right)} \right| + \left| \frac{(2\pi)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} 2^{\frac{5}{2}-2k} m^{1-k} (-D)^{k-\frac{3}{2}}}{\alpha_s m^{1-s} D^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \left(e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0) \Gamma(k - \frac{1}{2}) \right)} \right| L, \quad (13)$$

where L is a constant independent of k . We denote the first and second part of (13) as I_1 and I_2 . Now we estimate I_1 and I_2 separately.

$$I_1 = \left| \frac{(2m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{k-s+\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}+s-k} D^{k-s-1}}{\alpha_s m^{1-s} D^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \left(e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0) \right)} \right| = \frac{\sqrt{2} m^{\frac{1}{2}-2\delta} D^{2\delta}}{\left(e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0) \right)} \frac{\pi^{2\delta}}{2^{2\delta}} \left| \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \delta - it')}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \delta + it')} \right|.$$

The only term depending on k is the ratio of gamma functions. By [13.2.1, [1]] we have

$$\left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^{2\delta+2it'} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \delta - it')}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \delta + it')} \rightarrow 1, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $I_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Now consider

$$I_2 = \left| \frac{(2\pi)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} 2^{\frac{5}{2}-2k} m^{1-k} (-D)^{k-\frac{3}{2}}}{\alpha_s m^{1-s} D^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \left(e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0) \Gamma(k - \frac{1}{2}) \right)} \right| L \\ I_2 \leq \frac{(2\pi)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{5}{2}-2k} m^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{k}{2}-\delta} D^{\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{4}+\delta} L}{\left(e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0) \Gamma(k - \frac{1}{2}) \right)} \frac{2^{\frac{k}{2}-\frac{7}{4}-\delta}}{\pi^{\frac{k}{2}-\delta-\frac{1}{4}}} \left| \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \delta - it')}{\Gamma(k - \frac{1}{2})} \right| \\ \leq \frac{(2\pi)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{5}{2}-2k} m^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{k}{2}-\delta} D^{\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{4}+\delta} L}{\left(e(-rt_0) + (-1)^k e(rt_0) \Gamma(k - \frac{1}{2}) \right)} \frac{2^{\frac{k}{2}-\frac{7}{4}-\delta}}{\pi^{\frac{k}{2}-\delta-\frac{1}{4}}} \\ \times \frac{1}{(k - \frac{3}{2})(k - \frac{5}{2}) \dots (\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + \frac{1}{2})} \left| \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \delta - it')}{\Gamma(\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + \frac{1}{2})} \right|.$$

The first term tends to zero, as before the ratio of gamma functions $\left| \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \delta - it')}{\Gamma(\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + \frac{1}{2})} \right| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This gives contradiction to (13) and the theorem follows. \square

Remark 3.1. In the above proof one can calculate k_0 explicitly such that $I_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ and $I_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ for $k > k_0$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. First we prove statement of Theorem 2.3 for the region on the right of line of symmetry $\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$. Let $s = \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \delta - it'$ with $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, $t' \in \mathbb{R}$ and \mathfrak{B}_k be a basis of Hecke eigenforms. Then

$$\Omega_{t_0, s}^{k, m}(\tau, z) = \sum_{\phi_i \in \mathfrak{B}_k} \frac{\langle \Omega_{t_0, s}^{k, m}, \phi_i \rangle}{\langle \phi_i, \phi_i \rangle} \phi_i(\tau, z).$$

Comparing the (n, r) -Fourier coefficient of both sides and using Theorem 2.2 for given s , there exist k_0 such that for $k > k_0$, $\omega(n, r) \neq 0$. Hence there exists a Hecke eigenform $\phi_{i_0} \in J_{k, m}^{cusp}$ such that

$$\langle \Omega_{t_0, s}^{k, m}, \phi_{i_0} \rangle = \frac{\pi}{2^{k-2} e^{\pi i s/2}} \frac{\Gamma(k - 3/2)}{\Gamma(s - 1/2) \Gamma(k - s)} \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} \exp(-2\pi i \mu t_0) \Lambda_{\mu}(\bar{\phi}_{i_0}, k - s) \neq 0.$$

Hence there exists a Hecke eigenform ϕ_{i_0} and $\mu \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2m\}$ such that $\Lambda_{\mu}(\bar{\phi}_{i_0}, \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \delta + it') \neq 0$. Now using the functional equation given in Theorem 1.4, there exists $\beta \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2m\}$ such that $\Lambda_{\beta}(\bar{\phi}_{i_0}, \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \delta - it') \neq 0$. Hence the theorem follows. \square

4. NONVANISHING OF JACOBI POINCARÉ SERIES

Let $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ be the (n, r) -th Poincaré series of weight k and index m (of exponential type) defined by

$$P_{n, r}^{k, m}(\tau, z) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^J \backslash \Gamma_{\infty}^J} e(n\tau + rz)|_{k, m} \gamma(\tau, z),$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\infty}^J := \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (0, \nu) \right) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \nu \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

with $p_{n, r}^{k, m}(n', r')$ its (n', r') coefficients. For the explicit expression for $p_{n, r}^{k, m}(n', r')$ one may refer to [5].

This is well known that $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ generate the space $J_{k, m}^{cusp}$. Similar to the case of modular forms, one can ask the non-vanishing of Jacobi Poincaré series $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$. In this direction, Das [3] considered the non-vanishing of a Jacobi Poincaré series (for the general Jacobi group of any genus) analogous to Rankin's result [12] for the case of modular forms. We mention here the result for the case $g = 1$.

Theorem 4.1. [3] *Suppose $m \mid r$. Then there exists an integer k_0 and constant $B > 3 \log 2$ such that for all even $k \geq k_0$, the Jacobi Poincaré series $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ does not vanish identically when (here $D = 4nm - r^2$)*

$$k - 3/2 \leq \frac{\pi D}{2m} \leq (k - 3/2)^{1+2/9} \exp \left(-\frac{B \log(k - 3/2)}{\log \log(k - 3/2)} \right).$$

Further Das [3] gave conditions of non-vanishing of the Poincaré series $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ independent of the weight k .

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $\pi D > 2m$ (where $D = 4nm - r^2$). Then $P_{n,r}^{k,m} \neq 0$ provided

$$\exp\left(-\frac{B_1 \log(\pi D/m)}{\log \log 2(\pi D/m)}\right) \sigma_0(D)D < \frac{m^{8/7}}{2^{9/4}\pi} \left(\frac{2}{6^{2/3}} + \frac{54}{2^{5/6}} + \frac{16}{2^{3/4}}\right)^{-3/2},$$

where $\sigma_0(D) = \sum_{d|D} 1$.

As a consequence of our Theorem 2.2, we deduce nonvanishing of Jacobi Poincaré series. One can express the kernel function in terms of Poincaré series.

Proposition 4.3. [11] Let k and m be positive integers with $k > 6$ and $t_0 \in (2m)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$. If $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $1 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < k - 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{t_0,s}^{k,m}(\tau, z) &= \frac{(2\pi)^{s-1/2}}{e^{\pi i s/2} \Gamma(s-1/2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} \exp(-2\pi i \mu t_0) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{D=1, \\ 4m|D+\mu^2}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{D}{4m}\right)^{s-3/2} P_{(D+\mu^2)/4m,\mu}^{k,m}(\tau, z). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Now comparing the (n', r') coefficients both sides of the above expression (14),

$$\omega(n', r') = \frac{(2\pi)^{s-1/2}}{e^{\pi i s/2} \Gamma(s-1/2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} \exp(-2\pi i \mu t_0) \sum_{\substack{D=1 \\ 4m|D+\mu^2}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{D}{4m}\right)^{s-3/2} p_{(D+\mu^2)/4m,\mu}^{k,m}(n', r'),$$

equivalently,

$$\omega(n', r') = \frac{(2\pi)^{s-1/2}}{e^{\pi i s/2} \Gamma(s-1/2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{2m} \exp(-2\pi i \mu t_0) \sum_{\substack{D=1 \\ 4m|D+\mu^2}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{D}{4m}\right)^{s-3/2} p_{n',r'}^{k,m}\left(\frac{(D+\mu^2)}{4m}, \mu\right).$$

As mentioned in Remark 3.1 we have $\omega(n', r') \neq 0$ for $k > k_0$ (one can take $k_0 = \max \left\{ \frac{3}{2} + 2 \frac{(2\sqrt{2}m^{2\delta}(\pi D')^{\frac{2}{1+4\delta}})}{e^{-2\pi i r' t_0} + (-1)^k e^{2\pi i r' t_0}}, 4 + 2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2m}} D' \right)^2 e \right\}$ for $s = k/2 - \delta$ which we got using gamma function inequalities [9]). Then there exist μ, D with $4m|D+\mu^2$ such that $p_{n',r'}^{k,m}((D+\mu^2)/4m, \mu) \neq 0$ which implies $P_{n',r'}^{k,m} \neq 0$. Hence we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. Given positive integers m, n' and r' with $m \nmid r'$, choose δ such that $\frac{1}{2} - 2\delta \in (\frac{3+\sqrt{11}}{33}, 1)$ then for $k > k_0$ the Poincaré series $P_{n',r'}^{k,m} \neq 0$, where

$$k_0 = \max \left\{ \frac{3}{2} + 2 \frac{(2\sqrt{2}m^{2\delta}(\pi D')^{\frac{2}{1+4\delta}})}{e^{-2\pi i r' t_0} + (-1)^k e^{2\pi i r' t_0}}, 4 + 2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2m}} D' \right)^2 e \right\}.$$

Remark 4.1. Kohnen proved that $r_{k,s}(1) \neq 0$ for large k in [7]. Using similar arguments for any given n one can prove that $r_{k,s}(n) \neq 0$ for large k and deduce the nonvanishing of Poincaré Series in case of modular forms.

Acknowledgements

The first author is financially supported by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical functions, Dover, New York 1965.
- [2] R. Berndt, L -functions for Jacobi cusps forms à la Hecke, *Manuscripta Math.*, **84** (1994), 101–112.
- [3] S. Das, Nonvanishing of Jacobi Poincaré Series, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **89** (2010), 165–179.
- [4] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, The theory of Jacobi forms, Progress in Mathematics 55 (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1985).
- [5] B. Gross, W. Kohnen and D. Zagier, Heegner Points and Derivatives of L -Series.II,*Math. Ann.*, **278** (1987), 278–562.
- [6] A. Hamieh and W. Raji, Non-vanishing of L -functions of Hilbert modular forms inside the critical strip, *Acta. Arith.* **185** no. 4 (2018), 333–346.
- [7] W. Kohnen, Nonvanishing of Hecke L -functions associated to cusps forms inside the critical strip, *J. Number Theory*, **67** no. 2 (1997), 182–189.
- [8] W. Kohnen and J. Sengupta, On Koecher-Maass series of Siegel modular forms, *Math. Z.*, **242** no. 1 (2002), 149–157.
- [9] A. Laforgia and P. Natalini, *Some inequalities for the ratio of gamma functions*, *J. Inequal. Spec. Funct.*, **2** (2011), no. 1, 16–26.
- [10] M. Manickam, V. Kumar Murty and E. M. Sandeep, *A Weighted Average of L -functions of Modular Forms*, *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada*, **43** (2) 2021, 63–77.
- [11] Y. Martin, On integral kernels for Dirichlet series associated to Jacobi forms, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **90** no. 2 (2014), 67–88.
- [12] R. A. Rankin, The Vanishing of Poincaré Series, *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, **23** (1980), 151–161.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, AN OCC OF HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, BHUBANESWAR, VIA: JATNI, KHURDA, ODISHA- 752 050, INDIA

Email address: shivansh.pandey@niser.ac.in

Email address: brundaban.sahu@niser.ac.in