Distribution of Residues Modulo p

S. Gun, Florian Luca, P. Rath, B. Sahu and R. Thangadurai December 11, 2006

1 Introduction

The distribution of quadratic residues and non-residues modulo p has been of intrigue to the number theorists of the last several decades. Although Gauss' celebrated Quadratic Reciprocity Law gives a beautiful criterion to decide whether a given number is a quadratic residue modulo p or not, it is still an open problem to find a small upper bound on the least quadratic non-residue mod p as a function of p, at least when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. This is because for any given natural number p0 one can construct many primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ having the first p1 positive integers as quadratic residue (see, for example, Theorem 3 below).

In 1928, Brauer [1] proved that for any given natural number N one can find N consecutive quadratic residues as well as N consecutive quadratic non-residues modulo p for all sufficiently large primes p. Vegh, in a series of papers ([11], [12], [13] and [14]), studied the distribution of primitive roots modulo p. He considered problems such as the existence of a consecutive pair of primitive roots modulo p, or the existence of arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions of primitive roots modulo p^h whose common difference is also a primitive root mod p^h , as well as the existence of a primitive root in a given sequence of the form $g_1 + b, g_2 + b, \dots, g_{\phi(p-1)} + b$, where b is any given integer and the g_i 's are all the primitive roots modulo p.

In 1956, L. Carlitz ([2]) proved that for sufficiently large primes p one can find arbitrarily long strings of consecutive primitive roots modulo p. This was independently proved by Szalay ([9] and [10]).

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11N69, Secondary 11A07 Key Words: Quadratic residues, Primitive roots, Finite fields.

In [5], some of us studied the problem of the distribution of the non-primitive roots modulo p. More precisely, we studied the distribution of the quadratic non-residues which are not primitive roots modulo p. In the present paper, we improve upon [5] and prove results analogous to those of Brauer and Szalay. Our main ingredients are some technical results due to A. Weil [15] or Davenport [4] and Szalay [10].

For convenience, we abbreviate the term 'quadratic non-residue which is not a primitive root' by 'QNRNP'. Note further that $\phi(p-1) = (p-1)/2$ if and only if $p = 2^{2^m} + 1$ is a Fermat prime. In this case, the set of all QNRNP's modulo p is empty, since the primitive roots coincide with the quadratic non-residues. Thus, throughout this paper we assume that p is not a Fermat prime. We prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ be fixed and let N be any positive integer. Then for all primes $p \ge \exp((2\varepsilon^{-1})^{8N})$ satisfying

$$\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \le \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon,$$

we can find N consecutive QNRNP's modulo p.

Theorem 1 above generalizes the results of A. Brauer [1] and S. Gun, et al. [5].

Given a prime number p, we let

$$k := \frac{p-1}{2} - \phi(p-1)$$

denote the number of QNRNP's modulo p and we write $g_1 < g_2 < \ldots < g_k$ for the increasing sequence of QNRNP's.

Corollary 1. For any given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and natural number N, for all primes $p \ge \exp((2\varepsilon^{-1})^{8N})$ and satisfying $\phi(p-1)/(p-1) \le 1/2 - \varepsilon$, the sequence $g_1 + N, g_2 + N, \ldots, g_k + N$ contains at least one QNRNP.

Theorem 2. There exists an absolute constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for almost all primes p, there exist a string of $N_p = \left\lfloor c_0 \frac{\log p}{\log \log p} \right\rfloor$ of quadratic nonresidues which are not primitive roots.

We may also combine our Theorems with above mentioned results of Brauer and Szalay and infer that if $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and N are fixed, then for each sufficiently large prime p with $\phi(p-1)/(p-1) < 1/2 - \varepsilon$, there exist

N consecutive quadratic residues, N consecutive primitive roots, as well as N consecutive quadratic non-residues which furthermore are not primitive roots. In fact, we can even arrange the quadratic residues to be the first N quadratic residues.

Theorem 3. For every positive integer N there are infinitely many primes p for which 1, 2, ..., N are quadratic residues modulo p, and there exist both a string of N consecutive QNRNP's as well as a string of N consecutive primitive roots. The smallest such prime can be chosen to be $\langle \exp(\exp(c_1N^2)), \text{ where } c_1 \rangle 0$ is an absolute constant.

2 Preliminaries

Unless otherwise specified, p denotes a sufficiently large prime number. We denote the group of residues modulo p by \mathbb{Z}_p and the multiplicative group of \mathbb{Z}_p by \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

An element $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is said to be a primitive root modulo p if ζ is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_p^* . Once we know a primitive root modulo p, the QNRNP's are precisely the elements of the set

$$\left\{ \zeta^{\ell} \, : \, \ell = 1, 3, \dots, (p-2) \text{ and } (\ell, p-1) > 1 \right\}.$$

Consider a non-principal character $\chi: \mathbb{Z}_p^* \longrightarrow \mu_{p-1}$, where μ_{p-1} denotes the group of (p-1)th roots of unity. Then it is easy to observe that $\chi(\zeta)$ is a primitive (p-1)th root of unity if and only if ζ is a primitive root mod p. Let η be a primitive (p-1)th root of unity and assume that $\chi(\zeta) = \eta$. Since χ is a homomorphism, it follows that $\chi(\zeta^i) = \chi^i(\zeta) = \eta^i$. Hence, by the above observation, it is clear that $\chi(\kappa) = \eta^i$ with (i, p-1) > 1 with some odd i if and only if κ is a QNRNP mod p.

Let ℓ be any non-negative integer. We define

$$\beta_{\ell}(p-1) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le p-1\\ i \text{ odd, } (i,p-1) > 1}} \left(\eta^{i}\right)^{\ell}.$$

Lemma 1. For 0 < l < p - 1, we have

$$\beta_{\ell}(p-1) = -\alpha_{\ell}(p-1),$$

where $\alpha_{\ell}(p-1)$ is the sum of the ℓ th powers of the primitive (p-1)th roots of unity.

Proof. Observing that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \eta^i = 0 = \sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} \eta^{2i},$$

П

we get the desired result.

Let

$$\chi_1, \qquad \chi_2 = \chi_1^2, \qquad \dots, \qquad \chi_{p-2} = \chi_1^{p-2}, \qquad \chi_0 = \chi_1^{p-1},$$

be all the multiplicative characters modulo p with the convention $\chi_{\ell}(0) = 0$ for all $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, p-2$.

Lemma 2. We have,

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{p-2} \beta_{\ell}(p-1)\chi_{\ell}(x) = \begin{cases} p-1, & \text{if } x \text{ is a QNRNP}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. When $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, the statement is obvious. We assume that $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Let η be a primitive (p-1)th root of unity. Consider

$$\eta^{i_1}, \eta^{i_2}, \dots, \eta^{i_k},$$
 where $1 < i_1 < \dots < i_k,$ and $(i_j, p-1) > 1$ and i_j is odd for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

The expression

$$1 + \eta^{i_l} \chi_1(x) + (\eta^{i_l})^2 \chi_2(x) + \ldots + (\eta^{i_l})^{p-2} \chi_{p-2}(x)$$

has the value p-1 if $(\chi_1(x))^{-1} = \eta^{i_l}$ and zero otherwise whenever $x \neq 0$. Thus, giving l the values $1, 2, \ldots, k$, and adding up the above resulting expressions we get

$$\beta_0(p-1)\chi_0(x) + \ldots + \beta_{p-2}(p-1)\chi_{p-2}(x) = \begin{cases} p-1, & \text{if } x \text{ is QNRNP}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

The following deep theorem of A. Weil [15] is of central importance in the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. **Theorem 4.** For any integer ℓ satisfying $2 \leq \ell < p$ and for any non-principal characters $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_\ell$ and distinct $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{x=1}^{p} \chi_1(x+a_1) \chi_2(x+a_2) \cdots \chi_{\ell}(x+a_{\ell}) \right| \le (\ell-1) \sqrt{p}.$$

For $\ell = 2$, Davenport [3] was the first one to prove the above bound. Note also that when $\ell = 1$, the sum is 0.

For a positive integer m, we write $\omega(m)$ for the number of distinct prime factors of m. The next result is due to Szalay [9].

Lemma 3. We have,

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{p-2} |\alpha_{\ell}(p-1)| = 2^{\omega(p-1)} \phi(p-1).$$

3 The Proof of Theorem 1

Let M(p, N) denote the number of consecutive QNRNP modulo p of length N in \mathbb{Z}_p^* . We shall start with the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4. For any prime p and any positive integer N, we have

$$\left| M(p,N) - p \left(\frac{k}{p-1} \right)^N \right| \le 2N 2^{N\omega(p-1)} \sqrt{p}.$$

Proof. First note that $\beta_0(p-1)=k$. Clearly, by Lemma 2, we have

$$M(p,N) = \sum_{x=1}^{p-N} \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-2} \beta_{\ell}(p-1) \chi_{\ell}(x+j) \right] \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{x=1}^{p} \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-2} \beta_{\ell}(p-1) \chi_{\ell}(x+j) \right] \right\}$$

$$= (p-1)^{-N} \sum_{x=1}^{p} \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \left[k + \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-2} \beta_{\ell}(p-1) \chi_{\ell}(x+j) \right] \right\}$$

$$= p \left(\frac{k}{p-1} \right)^{N} + \frac{A}{(p-1)^{N}},$$

where

$$A = \sum_{\substack{0 \le l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_N \le p-2 \\ (l_1, \dots, l_N) \ne \mathbf{0}}} \left[\prod_{j=1}^N \beta_{l_j}(p-1) \right] \sum_{x=1}^p \left[\prod_{j=1}^N \chi_{l_j}(x+j-1) \right].$$

In order to finish the proof of Lemma 4, we have to estimate A. So, we rewrite it as A = B + C, where

$$C = \sum_{1 \le l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N \le p-2} \left[\prod_{j=1}^N \beta_{l_j}(p-1) \right] \sum_{x=1}^p \left[\prod_{j=1}^N \chi_{l_j}(x+j-1) \right],$$

and B is the similar summation with at least one (but not all) of the l_j 's equal to zero. We further separate each sum over the set for which exactly one ℓ_i 's is zero, then exactly two of the ℓ_i 's are 0, etc., up to when just one of the ℓ_i 's is nonzero.

Now, we look at the sum corresponding to the case when exactly j of the ℓ_i 's are equal to zero. This means that N-j of the ℓ_i 's are non-zero. The corresponding sum is

$$B_j = k^j \sum_{0 < r_1, \dots, r_{N-j} \le p-2} \left[\prod_{b=1}^{N-j} \beta_{r_b}(p-1) \right] \left[\sum_{x=1}^p \left(\prod_{b=1}^{N-j} \chi_{r_b}(x+m_b) \right) + E \right],$$

where E is the sum of some (p-1)th roots of unity and in the summation at most N terms occur. When we take the absolute value of this summand, we get

$$|B_{j}| \leq k^{j} \sum_{0 < r_{1}, \dots, r_{N-j} \leq p-2} \prod_{b=1}^{N-j} |\beta_{r_{b}}(p-1)| \left(\left| \sum_{x=1}^{p} \left(\prod_{b=1}^{N-j} \chi_{r_{b}}(x+m_{b}) \right) \right| + N \right)$$

$$\leq k^{j} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{p-2} |\beta_{\ell}(p-1)| \right)^{N-j} \left(\left| \sum_{x=1}^{p} \left(\prod_{b=1}^{N-j} \chi_{r_{b}}(x+m_{b}) \right) \right| + N \right).$$

Now, note that $|\beta_{\ell}(p-1)| = |\alpha_{\ell}(p-1)|$ for all $\ell = 1, 2, ..., p-2$, and $|\beta_0(p-1)| = k$, while $|\alpha_0(p-1)| = \phi(p-1)$. Thus, by Theorem 4 and Lemma 3, we get

$$|B_j| < k^j \left(2^{\omega(p-1)}\phi(p-1)\right)^{N-j} ((N-j-1)\sqrt{p}+N)$$

 $< 2Nk^j \left(2^{\omega(p-1)}\phi(p-1)\right)^{N-j} \sqrt{p}.$

This inequality holds for all j = 1, 2, ..., N - 2. When j = N - 1, we get

$$|B_{N-1}| \le k^{N-1} 2^{\omega(p-1)} \phi(p-1) N.$$

The term C in A can also be estimated as above and we get for it

$$|C| \le \left(2^{\omega(p-1)}\phi(p-1)\right)^N (N-1)\sqrt{p}.$$

So, we see that the inequality (1) holds when j = N - 1 as well. Adding up all the above estimates for $|B_j|$ and |C|, we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{A}{(p-1)^N} & \leq & 2N \frac{\sqrt{p}}{(p-1)^N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \binom{N}{j} k^j \left(2^{\omega(p-1)} \phi(p-1) \right)^{N-j} \\ & < & 2N \sqrt{p} \left(2^{\omega(p-1)} \frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} + \frac{k}{p-1} \right)^N \\ & < & 2N 2^{N\omega(p-1)} \sqrt{p}, \end{split}$$

where we used the fact that $2^{\omega(p-1)}\phi(p-1)/(p-1)+k/(p-1)<2^{\omega(p-1)}$, which finishes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1. We assume that $N \geq 4$. From the definition of k, it is easy to observe that

$$\frac{k}{p-1} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \ge \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 4 above tells us now that

$$p\varepsilon^N - M(p, N) \le \left| M(p, N) - p \left(\frac{k}{p-1} \right)^N \right| \le 2N 2^{N\omega(p-1)} \sqrt{p},$$

The above chain of inequalities obviously implies that M(p, N) > 0 if

$$\sqrt{p}\varepsilon^N > 2N2^{N\omega(p-1)}. (1)$$

This last inequality is fulfilled if

$$\log p > 2\log(2N) + 2N\left(\omega(p-1)\log 2 + \log(\varepsilon^{-1})\right). \tag{2}$$

For $p > 4 \cdot 10^6$, we have that $\omega(p-1) < 2 \log p / \log \log p$. Thus, for such values of p, the right hand side above is bounded above by

$$2\log(2N) + \frac{4N\log 2}{\log\log p}\log p + 2N\log(\varepsilon^{-1}),$$

and so the desired inequality is fulfilled provided that

$$\left(1 - \frac{4N\log 2}{\log\log p}\right)\log p > 2\log(2N) + 2N\log(\varepsilon^{-1}).$$

When $p > \exp(2^{8N})$, the factor appearing in parenthesis in the left hand side of the last inequality above is $\geq 1/2$. Note that since $N \geq 1$, we have that $\exp(2^{8N}) > 4 \cdot 10^6$, so the inequality $\omega(p-1) < 2\log p/(\log\log p)$ is indeed satisfied for such values of p. Thus, in this range for p it suffices that

$$\log p \ge 4\log(2N) + 4N\log(\varepsilon^{-1}),$$

leading to $p \geq (2N)^4 \varepsilon^{-4N}$. Since $(2N)^4 \leq 2^{4N}$, the inequality

$$\exp((2\varepsilon^{-1})^{8N}) > \max\{\exp(2^{8N}), (2N)^4(\varepsilon^{-1})^{4N}\}$$

holds for all $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$ and $N \geq 1$, so the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

4 The Proof of Theorem 2

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all primes. Fix $\delta > 0$ and let \mathcal{P}_1 be the set of all primes $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $|\omega(p-1) - \log \log p| < \delta \log \log p$ and p-1 is divisible by some odd prime $q \leq \log \log p$. It is well-known that \mathcal{P}_1 contains most primes; that is, if x is large then the set of primes $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_1$ is of cardinality $o(\pi(x))$ as $x \to \infty$.

We now let x be a large positive real number. Let $p \le x$ be a prime. We assume that $p > x/\log x$, since there are only $\pi(x/\log x) = o(\pi(x))$ primes $p \le x/\log x$. Then $\log p \ge \log x - \log\log x$, so $\log\log p = \log\log x + O(1)$. Thus, if $p \in \mathcal{P}_1 \cap [x/\log x, x]$ and x is large, then $\omega(p-1) \le (1+2\delta)\log\log x$. Furthermore, if q is the smallest odd prime factor of p-1, then $\phi(p-1)/(p-1) \le 1/2 - 1/(2q)$, and since $2q \le 2\log\log x$, we can take $\varepsilon = 1/(2\log\log x)$ and hence $\varepsilon^{-1} = 2\log\log x$. With all these choices, inequality (2) will be fulfilled if

$$\log x - \log \log x > 2\log(2N)$$

$$+ 2N((1+2\delta)\log \log x \log 2 + \log(2\log \log x)).$$

The above inequality is satisfied if we choose $N = \left\lfloor c_3 \frac{\log x}{\log \log x} \right\rfloor$, where we can take c_3 to be a positive constant $< 1/(2 \log 2)$, provided that afterwards δ is chosen to be small enough and x is then chosen to be sufficiently large, which completes the proof of this theorem.

5 Proof of Theorem 3

Proof of Theorem 3. First we prove that there exist infinitely many primes p for which $1, 2, \ldots, N$ are all quadratic residues modulo p for any given natural number N. For each prime $q \geq 5$ let $a_q \pmod{q}$ be a quadratic residue modulo q such that $a_q > 1$ and put $a_3 = 1$. Let p be a prime congruent to 1 modulo 8 and to a_q modulo q for all odd primes $q \leq N$. Then, by Quadratic Reciprocity,

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{a_q}{q}\right) = 1$$

whenever $q \leq N$ is an odd prime. Furthermore, $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1$ because $p \equiv 1$ (mod 8). Using the multiplicativity property of the Legendre symbol, we get that $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$, whenever a is a positive integer all whose prime factors are $\leq N$. In particular, the first N positive integers are quadratic residues modulo p. Note that $3 \mid (p-1)$, and from the argument used at the proof of Theorem 2, it follows that we may take $\varepsilon = 1/6$. Furthermore, p-1is not divisible by any prime $q \in [5, ..., N]$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the system of congruences $p \equiv 1 \pmod 8$ and $p \equiv a_q \pmod q$ for all odd primes $q \leq N$ has a solution $p_0 \pmod{P}$, where $P = 4 \prod_{q \leq N} q =$ $\exp(O(N))$. There are infinitely many primes in this progression. Now the argument from the proof of Theorem 1 shows that such p can be chosen on the scale of $x = \exp(12^{8N})$. The only problem that might worry us is the existence of primes in the arithmetic progression $p_0 \pmod{P}$ on the scale of x. But note that $P = \exp(O(N)) = (\log x)^{o(1)}$, so the Siegel-Walfitz Theorem, for example, tells us that the interval [x, 2x] contains $(1+o(1))\pi(x)/\phi(P)$ primes $p \equiv p_0 \pmod{P}$ (in particular, at least one of them), which finishes the argument.

6 Final Remarks

Let $N \neq 1$ be any square-free natural number. Then it is well-known that N is a quadratic non-residue modulo p for infinitely many primes p. The analogous result for primitive roots is known as Artin's Primitive Root conjecture. In 1967, Hooley [7] proved this conjecture subject to the assumption of the generalized Riemann hypothesis. Interestingly, it is not even known whether 2 is a primitive root modulo infinitely many primes. For more details, we

refer to the article by Ram Murty [8]. Finally, in Theorem 1, it would be of interest to obtain a constant M which depends only on the natural number N and not on ε .

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Prof. Ram Murty for going through our work. This work was started when the first and the third authors were at Harish-Chandra Research Institute. The second author was supported in part by grants PAPIIT IN104505, SEP-CONACyT 46755 and a Guggenheim Fellowship.

References

- [1] A. Brauer, Über Sequenzen von Potenzresten, Sitzungsberichte der Preubischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, (1928), 9-16.
- [2] L. Carlitz, Sets of primitive roots, Compositio Math., 13 (1956), 65-70.
- [3] H. Davenport, On the distribution of the *l*-th power residues mod *p*, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **7** (1932), 117-121.
- [4] H. Davenport, On character sums in finite fields, Acta Math., 71 (1939), 99-121.
- [5] S. Gun, B. Ramakrishnan, B. Sahu and R. Thangadurai, Distribution of Quadratic non-residues which are not primitive roots, *Math. Bohem.*, 130 (2005), no. 4, 387-396.
- [6] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, "An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers," 4th ed. Clarendon, Oxford, 1960.
- [7] C. Hooley, On Artin's conjecture, J. Reine Angew. Math 226 (1967) 209-220.
- [8] M. R. Murty, Artin's conjecture for primitive roots, *Math. Intelligencer*, **10** (1988), no. 4, 59-67.
- [9] M. Szalay, On the distribution of the primitive roots mod p (in Hungarian), $Mat.\ Lapok,\ 21\ (1970),\ 357-362.$
- [10] M. Szalay, On the distribution of the primitive roots of a prime, J. Number Theory, 7 (1975), 183-188.

- [11] E. Vegh, Primitive roots modulo a prime as consecutive terms of an arithmetic progression, J. Reine Angew. Math., 235 (1969), 185-188.
- [12] E. Vegh, Primitive roots modulo a prime as consecutive terms of an arithmetic progression - II, J. Reine Angew. Math., 244 (1970), 108-111.
- [13] E. Vegh, A note on the distribution of the primitive roots of a prime, J. Number Theory, 3 (1971), 13-18.
- [14] E. Vegh, Primitive roots modulo a prime as consecutive terms of an arithmetic progression - III, J. Reine Angew. Math., 256 (1972), 130-137.
- [15] A. Weil, On the Riemann hypothesis, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A*, Vol. 27 (1941), 345-347.

Addresses of the Authors

S. Gun
Department of Mathematical and
Computational Sciences
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
3359 Mississauga Road
North Mississauga,
ON, Canada, L5L 1C6
sanoli.gun@utoronto.ca

FLORIAN LUCA
Instituto de Matemáticas
C.P. 58089, Morelia, Michoacán
México
ON, Canada, L5L 1C6
sanoli.gun@utoronto.ca
fluca@matmor.unam.mx

P. RATH
Institute of Mathematical Sciences
C. I. T. Campus, Taramani
Chennai - 600113, INDIA
rath@imsc.res.in

B. Sahu Harish-Chandra Research Institute Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi Allahabad 211019, INDIA sahu@hri.res.in R. THANGADURAI Harish-Chandra Research Institute Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi Allahabad 211019, INDIA thanga@hri.res.in